Is it best to test for as many cystic fibrosis transmembrane regulator (CFTR) gene variants as possible when performing carrier testing on healthy individuals?

No. Cystic fibrosis (CF) screening panels should include only a carefully selected list of known pathogenic variants. Although to date more than 2,000 variants have been identified in the CFTR gene, fewer than 200 are known to be causative for CF disease. The majority of CFTR variants have little evidence to indicate whether they are benign or disease-causing.

Carrier screening for expectant couples is performed to identify those at risk for having a child with classic CF disease, which is defined by significant pulmonary disease and pancreatic insufficiency. Most individuals undergoing screening are unaware that many CFTR variants do not cause classic CF disease. For example, when an individual has a mild CF variant as well as a severe CF variant on the opposite chromosome, he or she may have no symptoms or may have an isolated CFTR-related disorder, such as pancreatitis, bronchiectasis, or male infertility, but the combination does not cause classic CF.

ARUP’s 165 CF variant panel includes the 23 variants recommended for screening by the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG), as well as an additional 142 variants known to cause CF disease. Variants of mild or unclear significance were purposely excluded from the panel. That sets ARUP’s panel apart from other expanded CF screening panels that often include variants of varying clinical consequences.

If a variant of varying clinical consequence is identified in one member of a couple, this often leads to recommendations for their reproductive partner to undergo screening. If the partner is also positive for a CF variant, the clinical significance to their offspring may be difficult to predict, complicating prenatal testing and decision making.

The original CF variant panel recommended by the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology in 2001 included 25 variants. However, after this panel became standard of care, several publications called into question the clinical significance of the I148T variant. By itself, this variant is now known not to be associated with CF disease. Another pathogenic variant, 3199del6, rarely found on the same chromosome as I148T, was determined to be the actual pathogenic variant. This was discovered only after several healthy adults undergoing carrier screening were found to have the I148T variant as well as a severe CF variant on the opposite chromosome. Thus, it was determined that the I148T variant was actually not CF-causing.

Unfortunately, many women who screened positive for the I148T variant were informed they carried a CF-causing variant. Only one in 100 of them also carried the true variant, 3199del6. This undoubtedly led to unnecessary testing of reproductive partners and pregnancies, as well as the termination of healthy pregnancies. A similar scenario could easily occur with other rare CF variants or with variants of varying clinical consequence.

Why does the detection rate of CF expanded panels vary significantly between laboratories?

By definition, expanded panels contain variants found at a frequency of less than 1 in 1,000 in the CF population. Thus, trying to determine the frequency of the rare variants in a specific ethnicity can be very difficult. The CFTR2 database (which has data on over 80,000 CF patients), publishes the general overall frequency of each variant but does not collect data on the frequency in various ethnicities. It can be very misleading to determine the frequency of rare variants from small studies that do report data by ethnicity. For example, if 50 Hispanic individuals affected with CF undergo sequencing to determine the causative variants, any single variant identified will appear to have at least a 1 percent allele frequency. Compare identifying the same variant once in the 88,000 individuals which comprise the CFTR2 database. Including the frequency of such rare variants reported in small studies can artificially increase the reported detection rate in various ethnicities.

When should the mild 5T variant be tested?

The 5T variant is a common mild variant occurring in 1 in 10 individuals in the general population. It causes abnormal splicing of the CFTR gene transcript, resulting in only 10 percent of normally functioning CFTR protein being made. Most individuals who have two copies of the variant are asymptomatic, although some may have a CFTR-related disorder, such as congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens, pancreatitis, or bronchiectasis.

The 5T variant should only be tested when a patient has symptoms of CF or a CFTR-related disorder, or when the R117H variant is identified. When the 5T variant is present on one chromosome and another variant is present on the opposite chromosome, it may help explain CFTR-related symptoms. The 5T variant contributes only to classic CF disease when accompanied by another pathogenic variant located on the same chromosome as well as a severe pathogenic variant on the opposite chromosome; by itself, it is not causative for CF.
The R117H variant by itself is a mild, non CF-causing variant. But when it is present on the same chromosome as the 5T variant, it is a moderately severe pathogenic variant that may result in CF disease when combined with a severe pathogenic variant on the opposite chromosome. Therefore, the CF 165 pathogenic variant panel will only analyze the 5T variant when information provided to ARUP indicates that an individual is symptomatic or when the R117H variant is detected by the panel.

ACMG recommends testing for the 5T variant when the R117H variant is detected by the CF panel but indicates that testing for the 5T variant should NOT be done for healthy individuals undergoing CF screening. Reproductive partners of individuals who carry the 5T variant alone do not need to undergo CF carrier screening. Prenatal diagnosis is not recommended for pregnancies where one individual carries the mild 5T variant and their reproductive partner carries a severe pathogenic variant because the pregnancy is not at increased risk for CF. For the above reasons, the ACMG recommends against routine testing for the 5T variant in healthy individuals undergoing CF carrier testing, as it results in unnecessary anxiety, testing of the individual’s reproductive partner, and testing of the pregnancy.

ARUP only provides 5T status for symptomatic individuals or those positive for the R117H variant when the CF 165 variants panel is ordered.

**How does testing of symptomatic individuals differ from screening healthy individuals for CF carrier status?**

Two pathogenic CFTR gene variants are expected to be identified in individuals affected with CF, while carriers typically have only one pathogenic CFTR variant. As with carrier screening, individuals who have symptoms of CF or have a positive sweat chloride test should initially be offered a CF variant panel.

If two pathogenic variants are not identified, then CFTR gene sequencing (~97 percent clinical sensitivity) should be considered. To achieve a 99 percent detection rate, sequencing and deletion/duplication analysis should be performed.

**What type of testing is recommended for individuals with symptoms of a CFTR-related disorder, such as congenital bilateral absence of the vas deferens (CBAVD), isolated pancreatitis, or nasal polyps?**

Up to 80 percent of men with CBAVD have at least one identifiable CFTR variant. Approximately 20 percent have two CFTR variants (usually one severe and one mild); 33 percent have one copy of the 5T variant and another CFTR variant; 20 percent have only a single CFTR variant; and 1–2 percent have two copies of the 5T variant.

Individuals with isolated pancreatitis or asthma also have a higher proportion of CFTR variants than the general population.

Since many mild variants causing CFTR-related disorders are not identified by CF panels designed to detect moderate to severe disease-causing variants, full CFTR gene sequencing is strongly recommended for such patients.

**Why does the laboratory need to know a patient’s ethnicity, symptoms, and whether there is a family history of CF?**

Each of these factors affects the residual risk present after a negative CF test. If there is a family history of CF, it is important to specify whether the family member is symptomatic or just a carrier, the exact relationship of the patient to the family member, and the specific variant(s) present in the family member. This information allows for a more accurate test interpretation.

Consider the usefulness of the above information in the following scenarios:

The CF variant panel is requested on a newborn reported to have a full sister affected with CF caused by two copies of the pathogenic F508del variant. A single F508del variant is detected in the newborn using the CF 165 variant panel. Thus, the newborn is predicted to be unaffected with CF and is merely a carrier, since the CF panel is known to test for both of the familial variants.

The CF 165 variant panel is requested on an expectant woman who has a first cousin affected with CF. Neither the patient's ethnicity nor the specific variants in her cousin are provided. The woman is negative for variants detected by the CF panel. Without knowledge of the specific familial variants, the significance of a negative variant panel is lessened, since it is not known if her cousin’s CFTR variants are included on the panel.

Nevertheless, if her ethnicity is provided, it is still possible to perform a Bayesian calculation and provide a revised risk estimate for the patient using her specific family history and negative test result.
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